

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/554,167	GICQUEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacqueline DiRamio	1641	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowable

(1) Jacqueline DiRamio.

(3) _____.

(2) Julie Haut.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 August 2005

Time: 11:00 AM; 4:30 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Possible prior art rejection over claims 11, 16 and 17.

Claims discussed:

11, 16 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6,071,748;

US 4,772,453.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Prior art documents were agreed upon to not read on the discussed claims 11, 16 and 17 as far as the light source included outside of the dark chamber and the light-proof shoe directly pressed against the planar rim of the reaction vessels. Applicant further agreed to clarifications of claim language in order to place the application in condition for allowance, as presented in the Examiner's Amendment..